


**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Kamal Jain et al.  
Serial No.: 10/680,549  
Confirmation No.: 5005  
Filed: October 7, 2003  
For: MODEL AND METHOD FOR COMPUTING PERFORMANCE  
BOUNDS IN MULTI-HOP WIRELESS NETWORKS  
Examiner: Olumide T. Ajibade-Akonai  
Art Unit: 2617

<b>Certificate of Electronic Filing Under 37 CFR 1.8</b>	
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).	
Dated: <u>5/21/08</u>	 Cheryl Yankus

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed February 21, 2008, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Each of the rejections set forth in the Office Action has been carefully considered and is addressed below. The application as presented is believed to be in condition for allowance.

The Office Action provisionally rejects claims 1 and 18 on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting as purportedly being unpatentable over claims 1 and 18 of application serial no. 11/474,666 in view of Sengoku et al., Cellular Mobile Communication Systems and a Channel Assignment Using Neural Networks. Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome this rejection.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the double-patenting rejection of claims 1 and 18 is respectfully requested.

The Office Action Summary indicates that dependent claims 2 and 5-17 are rejected, but the Detailed Action does not indicate claims 2 and 5-17 as being rejected and does not set forth any basis for rejecting claims 2 and 5-17. Applicant assumes that these claims were indicated as being rejected in the Office Action Summary inadvertently, and that the Examiner intended to indicate those claims as being allowable. If Applicant's understanding is incorrect, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below so that any outstanding issues may be addressed and the record may be made clear.


As the only rejections set forth in the Office Action are double-patenting rejections of claims 1 and 18, and these rejections have been overcome by the terminal disclaimer submitted herewith, all outstanding issues are believed to be resolved and the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if this communication does not place the case in condition for allowance.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Dated: May 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Scott J. Gerwin  
Registration No. 57,866  
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206  
Telephone: (617) 646-8000